

REGULAR ARTICLES

1 THE JOURNEY OF LEAVING AN
2 INSULAR COMMUNITY: THE CASE OF
3 ULTRA ORTHODOX JEWS
4

5 *Roni Berger**
6

7 *Abstract*
8

9 **T**his article describes a study that used a qualitative methodology to
10 capture the lived experience of individuals who grew up in Ultra
11 Orthodox and Chasidic communities and left to explore a new
12 path. A target sample of 19 individuals, mostly from the New York area,
13 was used. Non structured individual in-depth interviews were conducted
14 to learn how these individuals perceive, describe, and interpret their
15 experience. Thematic analysis of the interviews was conducted. A major
16 theme that emerged in this analysis referred to the process of leaving.
17 Four phases were identified in the process: 1) initial questioning; (2)
18 growing doubts; (3) beginning to share selectively with a small group of
19 trusted others; (4) revealing a new and altered identity. These phases are
20 discussed and illustrated. Implications for intervention and for future
21 research are suggested.
22

23 **Keywords:** Leaving orthodoxy, ex-orthodox, exiters, ex-haredi, off the
24 derech,
25

26 Insular communities follow a separatists segregationist strategy, involving
27 positive attitudes to own culture and negative attitudes to the culture of the
28 wider society (Berry, 1997). They are often religious and include
29 Mormons, Amish, new religious movements, cults and Ultra-Orthodox
30 Jews (also called Haredi). These communities try to maintain their
31 distance from the larger society and their traditional way of life as the
32 world around them is changing drastically and fast. All aspects of life are
33 highly structured and regulated by clear guidelines, ideas and practices, to
34 which total conformity is demanded.

35 Specifically, Ultra-Orthodox Jewish communities were described by
36 Davidman and Gareil, (2007) as follows:

* Support for this study was provided by an institutional grant from Adelphi University. Help with recruitment of participant was given by Shulem Deen, Unpious.com Editor and Founder.

1 Jews, like other highly encapsulated groups provide environments that
2 are insulated from secular life in a variety of ways. Education, worship,
3 food, dress, and observance are all governed within the community.
4 Rules pertaining to every aspect of life are clearly and strictly outlined by
5 religious texts and reinforced by the rabbis, who lead, teach, and shape
6 their communities. The communities themselves are geographically
7 isolated; haredim [the concept that literally translated “anxious ones”
8 refers to Ultra Orthodox Jews] generally live within their own distinct
9 neighborhoods and rarely interact with those whose secular lives they
10 disdain (p. 205).

11
12 These tightly knit communities are characterized by large families as
13 birth control is restricted, high rate of poverty, a restrictive life style and
14 dress code enforced by a “modesty patrol”, rigorous social control with
15 strict separation between men and women until they are married, typically
16 in an arranged marriage at the age of 18-19. Exposure to radio, television,
17 non-religious media, movies, literature, art, music, and the web is strictly
18 limited. Orthodox education consists almost exclusively of religious
19 content and the Jewish scriptures. Boys and men spend most of their time
20 in prayers and religious studies whereas the focus for women is on family
21 matters. Everyday language is often Yiddish. In some sects, women are
22 not allowed to drive.

23 Individuals who explore life out of these communities, especially the
24 more extreme sects, are like new immigrants who step into a foreign
25 world often demonized by their community of origin as negative and
26 dangerous. They often lack basic education, language proficiency,
27 vocational training, and marketable skills necessary to function in the
28 modern world. They also lack knowledge of norms of behavior with
29 respect to dress and interpersonal behaviors; particularly with the opposite
30 sex (they do not get education regarding sexuality, sexually transmitted
31 diseases, and safe sex). Having been raised to believe that the
32 nonobservant live utterly meaningless lives, their values, worldview, and
33 identity are also challenged. Consequently, they become culturally
34 disorientated.

35 While converts into strict religious groups have considerable
36 institutional support, the same is not true for those who choose to
37 transition into secular society. They are frequently stigmatized, rejected,
38 and publically humiliated by their friends and communities. Stigmas and
39 social punishment may extend to their families, who often ostracize and
40 disown them and they may lose contact with children, parents, and
41 siblings. Consequently, “transgressors” often face the challenge of
42 navigating an unfamiliar outside world with little preparation and no

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 financial, psychological, or social support. This may beget loneliness,
2 stress, depression and self-harming behaviors.

3 Research about insular communities is limited as accessibility is
4 challenging because they tend to isolate themselves and minimize
5 interaction with the outer world for fear that secular modern ideas
6 influence their beliefs and way of life. Available studies have focused on
7 the likelihood of seeking screening for medical conditions, the association
8 of religiosity with mental health and attitudes toward mental illness, some
9 aspects of marital and child-adult relationships and residential relations
10 between different religious groups (Albert, Harlap & Caplan, 2004; Bartz,
11 Richards, Smith & Fischer, 2010; Blumen, 2012; Flint, Benenson &
12 Alfasi, 2012; Goldberg & Yassour-Borochowitz, 2009; Pirutinsky,
13 Rosmarin, & Pargament, 2009; Schnall, Pelcovitz & Fox, 2013).

14 Leaving religion was conceptualized by Roof and Landers (1997) in
15 three typologies. *Disengagement* is the rejection of external religious
16 authority while maintaining theistic beliefs and redefining the relation of
17 the individual to religious institutions. It includes moving from one
18 religion to another, eroding of traditional beliefs and institutional
19 loyalties, moving into and out of active religious participation and
20 exploring alternative religious and spiritual possibilities (often called New
21 Religious Movements). *Dissent* refers to opposition to religion as a
22 bureaucratic organizational structure such as the church but not
23 necessarily losing faith. *Defection* means rejection of religion all together
24 and opting for a secular viewpoint and life styles. Defecting can occur
25 publicly (Bar-Lev, Breslau, & Ne'eman 1997; Peter et al. 1982; Wright,
26 1984) or privately, while maintaining the appearance of being Orthodox
27 (Barzilai 2004).

28 Most studies about leaving insular communities documented socio
29 demographic characteristics of those who left a church or a cult (Albrecht,
30 Cornwall & Cunningham, 1988; Balch, 1985; Roozen, 1980; Solomon,
31 1981), diverse aspects of the process of defecting and contextual factors
32 that shape it (Albrecht & Bahr, 1983; Bar-Lev, Breslau, & Ne'eman 1997;
33 Brinkerhoff & Mackie, 1993; Bromley, 1991; Jacobs, 1987), and post-
34 leaving reflection on the experience (Rothbaum, 1988; Wright, 1984).
35 Many of these studies focused on “deconversion” i.e. people who joined
36 an encapsulated community and then returned to the secular world from
37 which they originally came rather than disaffiliation by those who were
38 born into enclave communities and left them (Shaffir, 1997). In addition,
39 personal memoirs are available that report the experience of crossing over
40 (Auslander, 2007; Brown Taylor, 2007; Feldman, 2012; Garrett &
41 Farrant, 2003; Schachtmen, 2006).

1 Studies about leaving religion focused on Christianity. A couple of
2 studies were about conversion out of Islam (Khalil & Bilici, 2007), where
3 leaving is considered an extremely serious crime. A handful of studies
4 examined disaffiliation from Orthodox Judaism and its psycho-social
5 implications (Attia, 2008; Davidman & Gareil, 2007; Winston, 2005).
6 Some of these studies included participants who grew up “with one foot
7 out the door” as they came from families that were less embedded in the
8 community (e.g. one parent was less or not religious, there were
9 relationships with non-orthodox relatives) and others focused on specific
10 sub-populations such as adolescents who ran away from mostly abusive
11 dysfunctional families (Attia, 2008). No distinction was documented
12 between Chasidic and non-Chasidic Ultra-Orthodox communities relative
13 to the findings in these studies. Except for the accounts of persons that
14 have left the Haredi world (e.g. Feldman, 2011), there is a scarcity of
15 scholarly knowledge about the lived experience of those who grew up in a
16 community that meticulously observes the Halakha (Jewish law) and
17 decided to leave it.

18 Previous efforts to document experiences of those who left (e.g.
19 Shaffir & Rockaway, 1987) were few and far between. As the
20 development of technology continues to crack dents in the attempts to
21 maintain the insulation of the Ultra-Orthodox community, this study
22 sought to capture and document how individuals who grew up in Jewish
23 Ultra-Orthodox and Chasidic communities and opted to explore a new
24 path, perceive, interpret and make meaning of their lived experience.

25 *Method*

26
27
28 To examine the lived experience of those who chose to go “off the
29 derech” (OTD) [literally means “straying from the path” and is used to
30 describe individuals who digress], a target sample of 19 formerly
31 Chasidic and Ultra-Orthodox men (n=12) and women (n=7) was
32 interviewed. Participants were recruited through postings on web sites of
33 two not for profit organizations serving “exiters” as well as snow ball (i.e.
34 participants referring other potential participants). Criteria targeted were:
35 1. Self identification as having grown up in an Ultra Orthodox
36 community; 2. Self identification as having left this community to pursue
37 any other type of life and affiliation (e.g. modern Orthodoxy, non-
38 orthodoxy, secular); 3. Ability to speak English or Hebrew; 4. Consent to
39 participate in the study and be interviewed. The original single posting
40 produced two dozen responses within several hours, followed by requests
41 to be interviewed that came from all over the country. This overwhelming

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 response may suggest that individuals in this population group feel not
2 being heard and are anxious to share their stories.

3 Seven of the interviewees were separated or divorced, one was
4 married, and 11 were never married. Seven had children and one had
5 grandchildren. Participants reported being raised in Ultra-Orthodox or
6 Chassidic communities of various sects (Lubavitcher, Satmar, Litvish,
7 Belz and non- specified) in Williamsburg, Crown Heights and Boro Park
8 in New York City. As those who abandon a religious affiliation tend to be
9 young adults (Albrecht, Cornwall & Cunningham, 1988; Bromley, 1991;
10 Hoge, 1981; Need and de Graaf, 1996; Roozen, 1980), most participants
11 were 18-30 year old. However a few were in their 30's, 40's or older.
12 Quite a few were attending college at their 20's or 30's. Several attended
13 an all-male or all female college, or a co-ed college with a large Orthodox
14 Jewish student body. Because the process is gradual, they could not
15 identify a specific date of leaving; however, with a few exceptions, most
16 dated their leaving within five years prior to the interview. Individuals
17 who contacted the researcher by email or by phone were screened for
18 meeting the inclusion criteria. For those who qualified, an interview time
19 and location were negotiated at their convenience.

20 While those who leave are often collectively portrayed by the
21 community as marginal, troubled, and problematic, participants varied in
22 their personal and familial backgrounds. No confirmatory statements from
23 others is available; however, many self described as compliant, well
24 embedded in the community, obedient, model "top of class" students and
25 "good kids" when they were growing up. Their families were reported as
26 intact, divorced, or remarried. With the exception of a few families
27 described as abusive or dysfunctional and a couple of parents (especially
28 fathers) reported to have alcohol problems, most stated that their families
29 were functional, warm, and "normal". Sample statements were "I was
30 very close to my parents, I was the oldest and everybody loved me, I was
31 a good boy and I was perfect", "My family is a pillar of the community".

32 Data were collected by means of individual, in-depth, non-structured
33 interviews designed to capture participants' perspectives on their journey
34 away from Ultra Orthodoxy. Such interviews are often used in
35 "discovery" qualitative research to allow participants tell their story. The
36 interview started with a brief explanation of the purpose of the study (I am
37 interested in anything you care to share about your experience moving
38 away from the way in which you grew up to where you are today), did not
39 follow any pre-conceived interview guide and included probing for
40 examples, elaboration and clarification as the individual told the story.
41 Thirteen interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed; notes were
42 taken for six interviews because of participants' request or due to

1 conditions not conducive to recording. It became increasingly clear quite
2 early in the process of data collection how eager people were to share
3 their stories, as interviews quickly became monologues of sharing
4 narratives. One participant explained his motivation for sharing his story
5 “I want that people on the inside will be less afraid of the outside and
6 people on the outside be less ignorant of inside”.

7 Thematic analysis was conducted to identify main themes within the
8 data. Specifically, an inductive ‘bottom up’ method was employed, i.e.
9 themes were identified from the data themselves rather than driven by any
10 specific theoretical framework. This type of analysis provides a flexible
11 approach that allows for a rich, detailed, and complex interpretation of the
12 data in search of certain patterns across the entire data set (Braun &
13 Clarke, 2006).

14 Two foci informed the analysis. First, the data set that was chosen for
15 analysis included those parts of the interviews that had relevance to the
16 journey away from Ultra Orthodoxy. Second, the analysis focused on the
17 content of the narrative (conceptualized by Braun & Clarke 2006 as a
18 semantic or explicit level) and did not include sociolinguistic aspects
19 (such as laughter and other emotional expressions, pauses and pitch of
20 voice), nor looking beyond what a participant has actually said. Topics
21 were then clustered in a cross case comparison into common core themes.

22 The method used for this study presented some limitations. First,
23 because of the self-selected nature of the sample, generalizing its
24 conclusions must be done with caution and is limited to those similar to
25 the sample in the current study. Second, while the subjective report met
26 the goal of gaining in-depth understanding of the lived experience of
27 participants, there is a potential risk that social desirability and unresolved
28 emotional issues relative to their decision to leave may color participants’
29 perception, interpretation, and reporting of their experiences. Thirdly,
30 most participants have left fairly recently and thus it is not clear how the
31 process will evolve in the long range. Future research may be helpful in
32 addressing this gap by targeting “veteran exiters”. Finally, as in all
33 qualitative research, characteristics of the interviewer may affect the
34 interaction and what the interviewee chooses to share. The researcher is a
35 secular Jew who shares cultural though not religious background with
36 participants and in a way represents the world towards which they are
37 heading.

38 *Findings*

39
40
41 The analysis yielded six themes, i.e. patterns that emerged across
42 interviews. However, presenting and illustrating all of them is beyond the

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 scope of this paper. Therefore the current report is focused on the theme
2 that appeared in all interviews and referred to trajectories of transitioning
3 out of the Ultra-Orthodox world. The decision to limit the discussion to
4 this specific theme allows for a more detailed and nuanced account (Braun
5 & Clarke, 2006).

6 Participants described a long, gradual, oscillating, slow, “bumpy”,
7 “complicated” and for a few as “relatively smooth” process of
8 transitioning from Ultra Orthodoxy. They often reported oscillating back
9 and forth, experimenting with OTD, going back to what is considered
10 proper behavior and away again in continuing circles. Participants were at
11 different phases of the journey and included in the words of one man,
12 “people who have done it, who want to do it, who are in the middle of
13 doing it”. The self exploration of where they were was manifested by
14 numerous emails sent by some to examine if they indeed fit into the
15 category of those who left. A typical comment was “I am still at struggle
16 with finding my place in religiosity”. That the process often continues was
17 apparent both from verbal statements that this is always work in progress
18 and from interviewees’ search for accurate words (as evident from
19 numerous pauses, repeating words, leaving sentences unfinished, and
20 starting again).

21 While every individual journey was unique, four pivotal milestones
22 were common in the narratives: (1) initial questioning; (2) growing
23 doubts; (3) beginning to share selectively with a small group of trusted
24 others; (4) revealing a new and altered identity.

25
26 **Initial questioning.** The journey varied in terms of when and how it
27 started. With a few exceptions of those who began “straying” at very early
28 ages (five and nine) or later in life (20’s to 40’s), for most participants, the
29 process began at adolescence. Two major types of beginnings were cited.
30 One was the emergence of cognitive doubts and the other was emotional-
31 relational dissatisfaction. Men more often reported the former whereas
32 women reported more of the latter. However, no clear dichotomy existed
33 between these groups of beginnings; rather, participants reported a
34 combination of both with diverse degrees of emphasis or dominance of
35 one or the other.

36
37 ***Emergence of cognitive doubts.*** Intellectually motivated beginnings were
38 described as “things began to not make sense”. One young man who
39 became involved in learning philosophy reached the conclusion through
40 his studies “once that argument [regarding the existence of god] was
41 dropped, I decided that there is no reason to believe”. The idea was
42 mirrored in another statement. “The more I read, the more I realize how

1 illogical all this is. It just did not make sense philosophically to me that
 2 god stands behind my back and watches if I turn on the light on Sabbath
 3 and if I do, he will punish me”. A cognitive dilemma aroused between
 4 what they were told in their traditional education and information that
 5 they began to gather from other sources. For example, one man stated

6
 7 Scientists were saying the earth is four and a half million years old and
 8 now they can prove it; he [the rabbi] told me in yeshiva it’s six thousand
 9 years old and period, end of story. So, I am doing more and more
 10 research and become much more curious as I want to find answers
 11 because I know I am right and the more I read, the more unclear it
 12 becomes. I am not finding the right answers.

13
 14 One woman felt increasingly frustrated as “I gradually began to
 15 realize that the way I am being brought up is biased, bigoted and lacks
 16 social conscience as everybody who is different is looked down at”.
 17 Several interviewees described a feeling of living a lie, which was
 18 emotionally challenging. Some struggled to maintain a co-existence
 19 between the knowledge that they started to acquire and the belief that they
 20 continued to hold; however, intellectual doubt often led to losing faith
 21 followed by changes in practice, which changes appeared slowly and to
 22 various degrees.

23
 24 ***Emotional-relational dissatisfaction.*** Two types of dissatisfaction
 25 emerged from interviews. First, the discontent with an environment that
 26 they described as “run by arbitrary rules”, “extreme”, “non-
 27 compromising”, “prison-like”, “boot camp, like a cult, run harshly”,
 28 “controlling”, “like a glass bubble, living on an island that did not allow
 29 you to touch the real world”. Demands on individuals often felt intensive,
 30 pushing them to the edge beyond what they could tolerate and failing to
 31 accommodate their particular needs and challenges. For example, “After
 32 getting up early for Shacharit [the Morning Prayer], we had to learn non-
 33 stop until close to midnight”. One participant stated “in secular education,
 34 they would have given me accommodation [to his learning disability] but
 35 not in the yeshiva”. It was the absolute demand for obedience that “broke
 36 me... when they tried to push me to a place that does not fit my needs,
 37 that is where the friction began”. Another man in his 20’s identified the
 38 pressure, which started when he was 19, to find a “shiduch” [match] and
 39 get married as the straw that broke the camel’s back. “Once you get
 40 engaged, you are stuck and I was afraid to be stuck; so I had to make an
 41 irreversible decision to leave or to stay”.

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 A second relational-triggered beginning was abuse by parents or
2 spouses or suffering a loss (such as the death of a brother of leukemia).
3 Those individual described wondering “why did god do it to me”, which
4 became “If there was god, he would not have allowed this to be done to
5 me; since it did happen, there is not god”. One women in her 20’s who
6 was married in an arranged marriage advocated by her father said “From
7 the outside he [husband] appeared religious but when I realized how he
8 treated me, I decided that if this is what religiosity means, I do not want
9 it”. Several participants described verbal, physical abuse and molestation.
10 One interviewee in her late teens shared that she started to rebel and test
11 the limits at a very young age by exercising forbidden behaviors such as
12 turning on the light on Sabbath and consuming dairy products lacking
13 proper certification that the product was made from milk, milked under
14 the supervision of an observant Jew. This type of milk is defined in the
15 US and other countries as “Cholov Yisrael”, which literally translates as
16 “milk of Israel” and loosely means “milk by a Jew”, and is the only type
17 of “legitimate” milk in Ultra Orthodox groups. She reported being
18 physically abused by her parents, forced to leave her home and becoming
19 homeless at age 15. She felt that the community ignored her situation and
20 scolded her for “informing” the abuse to the authorities (reporting of child
21 abuse to secular authorities is viewed negatively by certain Orthodox
22 Jewish communities) pushing her even further away as “this is against
23 Jewish values to throw out someone who has nowhere to go”. Another
24 man in his 30’s shared that he always associated sexuality with “Avodah
25 Zarah” [Hebrew; literally translates as “foreign worship”, meaning
26 idolatry] and “here was my uncle who is Jewish being sexual with me;
27 how is this possible?”

28 Several women reported gender discrimination as the trigger to the
29 beginning of the process; e.g. “My father would tell me that I cannot do
30 such and such and then find for himself some permission to do it”. One
31 young woman was furious about lucrative celebration of rituals of passage
32 for boys [Bar Mitzva] but not girls, the line in the daily morning blessing
33 said by men (but not by women) expressing gratitude to God for not being
34 created a woman [“baruch shelo asani isha”, which translates “thanks for
35 not making me a female”] and the prohibition of women to sing to a
36 gender-mixed audience. Female interviewees claimed that while men can
37 be unnoticed as they lead a double life, go to movies, eat non-kosher, and
38 sleep with other women, women are under constant scrutinizing and
39 “stuck” with family responsibilities. Three women cited becoming aware
40 of their sexual orientation as the beginning of the process. While there is a
41 clear biblical prohibition of homosexuality in men as an abomination, the
42 same is not true regarding lesbianism. Nevertheless, the women described

1 being made uncomfortable and eventually pushed to leave, if they insisted
2 on openly pursuing their sexual orientation.

3 External push and pull forces often played a role in initiating the
4 process of leaving. For one man who was enrolled simultaneously in a
5 yeshiva, where he pursued religious education, and a college, where he
6 wanted to gain education for a professional career, the demand by the
7 head of the Yeshiva to choose one or the other led him to opt for the non-
8 orthodox route. Another man became disenchanted with his rabbi's
9 constant threatening "if you do not learn [the torah all day long], you
10 burn". People who were critical in setting off the process included
11 extended family members such as an older relative, teachers, and friends.
12 Typical was attributing the beginning of leaving to the encouragement by
13 an older cousin to "listen to your inner voice; what you think it right and
14 what you think is wrong"

15
16 **Growing doubts.** The initial questioning was typically followed by a
17 period of contemplating the budding doubts. Most participants reported
18 deliberating privately whether things are indeed as definite as they were
19 raised to believe or is the picture more complex and diverse factors need
20 to be considered. "The more you study, the more you see that things are
21 contradictory". Compatible with the Talmudic teaching of "Seek not that
22 which is beyond you; do not question that which is hidden" (Vol.
23 chagigah, chapter 13, p 1), one man in his 30's who is completing a
24 doctorate in neuroscience in a prestigious university interpreted his doubts
25 as evidence to the limited ability of the human brain to understand things
26 that are above them. He reported having a "eureka" moment "it hit me that
27 if it does not make sense, why should I believe in this religion?" One
28 woman in her 20's described a vague sense of uncertainty "Many people
29 were off the derech and I felt a connection to them but the community
30 denigrated them. I saw in them a lot of sadness and I thought that it is
31 because they strayed and went off the right path".

32 At this phase, eager, fervent often non-selective consumption of
33 "secular" information occurred. Some described visiting homes of friends
34 whose parents were more lenient and permitted secular books and many
35 reported sneaking into the public library. Several participants described
36 waiting until it was almost Sabbath to decrease the likelihood of being
37 seen, going into the library and "packing" as many books, DVD's and
38 other material as they could and spending most of the weekend listening,
39 reading and watching movies to learn about the outside world.

40 One participant related the effects of this immersing in "swallowing"
41 the information "The more I read, the more I started to have questions
42 about everything I thought were the facts of life". As doubts increased, an

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 internal dialogue about a needed change began to sprout. Some described
2 a feeling of total collapse of their world "...everything I know, my entire
3 world is this. I work in this community, I live in this community". Yet,
4 there was a growing sense of split between the internal and the external. A
5 frequent comment was "I felt like I had two personalities". For one man,
6 who waited until he was in his 40's to begin to leave, this phase was
7 especially long because he did not want to "shake the tree" and cause his
8 children confusion and emotional issues as they were growing up.
9 Participants reported that changes in behavior were slower than in
10 thinking and giving up mandated rituals were deserted before breaking
11 "do not do" rules. Thus, men stopped putting on tefillin (leather boxes
12 containing scrolls with passages of scripture worn by observant Jewish
13 men weekday morning prayers) before they began to eat non kosher food.

14 For those who began straying away very young (at their pre-teen
15 years), the first phase was mostly testing by committing forbidden acts
16 such as using electricity on the Sabbath, eating a dairy pizza shortly after
17 they had meat rather than waiting the required six hours or discovering the
18 sweet taste of candies not acceptable in their community and realizing that
19 no divine punishment followed. While they gradually expanded their
20 rebellious acts, these young deserters typically did not leave formally until
21 they were 18 and felt independent. In the absence of financial resources
22 they sometimes committed petty crimes to survive. A couple of
23 interviewees described shoplifting food in supermarkets and one man
24 reported stealing from charity boxes to pay for train rides.

25 Emotionally, this phase was described by many as very stressful.
26 Several individuals reported feeling so distressed that they contemplated
27 suicide as they were struggling to find their way "it drove me crazy", "I
28 was dying inside". Others talked about the amount of anxiety involved in
29 every little step they made on their way out and yet others stated "they
30 [the Ultra orthodox community] broke me". Mostly, this phase was very
31 lonely "I thought that I am the only one to whom this happens", confusing
32 "I thought that I am either crazy for thinking these thoughts or I am a
33 brilliant genius discovering something nobody else did" and tormenting,
34 "I just could not be intellectually dishonest, it was killing me". Several
35 participants pictured this phase as colored by fear instilled in them by
36 years of warning and frightening. For example, "I was afraid that if I leave
37 religion, I will also lose all the morale that I grew up with and I will
38 deteriorate to drugs. Fear kept me in the community for a while".
39 However, there were exceptions like one man in his 20's who posited
40 "None of this was very difficult. I never felt guilt. It was easy to eat bread
41 on Passover or to eat pork". For parents, an added source of agony was the

1 concern that their access to children, and in one case grandchildren, will
2 be blocked

3 **Beginning to share selectively with a small group of trusted others.**

4 Discussions about issues related to Judaism without revealing their
5 personal doubts often constituted the next phase. Without sharing their
6 inner conflict, participants initiated dialogues about questions such as
7 critique of the non-humanitarian nature of kosher slaughter and the
8 relationships between scientific knowledge and religious beliefs. In spite
9 of keeping quiet about the personal nature of the discussion, interviewees
10 tended to limit it to a few whom they felt that they could trust. Participants
11 reported that when they were involved in the discussion of questions that
12 troubled them relative to God and religion, they made the effort to keep
13 the appearance of a “philosophical inquiry” rather than admitting the
14 personal struggle that it disguised.

15 Some described seeking help from rabbis in resolving his questions
16 because “they are supposed to know”. He became quickly disappointed
17 and disillusioned as “...they all ended up with the same thing – why are
18 you asking questions? ... Are you smarter than your father? Than your
19 grandfather? Leave it alone”. Others sought for answers in books “I had
20 no doubt that everything I was told and everything I knew was true. I just
21 had to find the right book”. This phase often begot a sense of awakening –
22 “...eventually it just dawned on me – they are wrong. The first twenty or
23 so years of my life were based on false premises”.

24 This phase was often characterized by a struggle, described by one
25 interviewee “So I was getting more and more disconcerted and
26 disillusioned with Orthodoxy. Still, I was scared. I wanted to fit into the
27 community and I wanted my family to like me” and by another “For some
28 time I was going back and forth. Sometimes wanting to be a good
29 Lubavicher but it did not last long”.

30 Gradually, the indirect disguised sharing became more open as
31 interviewees began to discuss their searching with somebody close whom
32 they felt that they could trust. Although they shared the decline of their
33 faith with selected others, many remained mostly “closeted”. One woman
34 described coming out to a rabbi and asking him to show her places in the
35 Torah that mention a problem with her behavior, refusing to accept
36 blindly his statement that her actions are forbidden.

37
38 **Revealing a new and altered identify.** Some continued gradually the
39 process that they called “coming out”, “drifting” or “straying“ by
40 beginning to present external manifestation of the change such as shaving
41 the beard and adopting a dress style that was typical of the dominant
42 culture. Several participants would change their appearance and behaviors

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 as they moved between the Orthodox and non-orthodox worlds such as
2 observed dietary rules in the neighborhood but not elsewhere and wearing
3 shorts and tee shirt under the traditional Chassidic outfit and taking off the
4 top layer when they left the community. “I bought nice cloths and as soon
5 as I was on the train and there were no other [Orthodox] Jews around, I
6 would take off my yarmulke, change my cloths and pass for a non-Jew,
7 maybe Catholic school student”. Women wear long dresses to cover the
8 knees, elbows, and collar bone around their neighborhood but change to
9 forbidden jeans and sleeveless tops elsewhere. This led to a feeling of
10 living a “double life” with a discrepancy between the inner world “in my
11 head” and the external appearance.

12 Some described a bold coming out fully and publically, “...and at that
13 point I said I’m done with the hiding. I’m done with the shame. We’re
14 going public. This is who we are and the community is going to have to
15 deal with it. This is the reality”. Others never made their transformation
16 known for fear of hurting relatives and losing relationships with parents,
17 friends, and children. That these fears were not unfounded was
18 demonstrated by a participant who reported loosing custody of her
19 children because she left.

20 Those who left because of their sexual orientation experienced a
21 “double coming out” as gays and as non-orthodox. These two processes
22 exacerbated each other. One woman stated “I suddenly realized that
23 hiding was making me act out and be somebody I wasn’t comfortable
24 being. The guilt and the shame came from the hiding. I didn’t want to
25 hide”.

26 When they no longer belonged to the community, participants had to
27 reinvent themselves and develop an alternative to the prescribed identity
28 that they once held. One man expressed this “When you do not fit into the
29 box any longer, you must find your own way”. Self examination mounted
30 at this stage as many were contemplating how they felt about themselves
31 and the world that they left. One young man expressed feeling lost,
32 confused, and desperate, as on one hand “the extreme orthodox
33 environment never catered to my needs” and on the other hand “I lack too
34 much to be able to integrate in the non-orthodox world because of all the
35 years that I lost”. The absence of clear directives was cited by several as a
36 hardship. One woman stated “everyone who tries to leave is lost; there are
37 no guidelines how to do it [leave]”, which is especially challenging for
38 individuals raised in a prescriptive environment where all is dictated and
39 one does not have to find one’s own way.

40 Acquiring a new identity did not come easily and many reported
41 inching their way in their new world “one day at a time”. One young
42 woman described trying on different identities “Do I want to be modern

1 orthodox? I tried that for several months; do I want to be conservative? It
 2 does not feel like the real thing”. A young man spoke about “developing a
 3 personality...we [he and his wife who joined him on the journey] first
 4 started discovering ourselves” to describe the move from a way of life
 5 where all is prescribed and personal decisions are limited to a reality
 6 where options are available and one must weigh alternatives and make
 7 choices. Another man described his route as “first I became non-
 8 Lubavicher; I did not like the Messianic spirit and, then non-religious
 9 because I did not like the Yiddishkeit [i. e. Jewishness, Jewish way of
 10 life]”.

11 Leaving the community did not necessarily mean leaving religiosity or
 12 spirituality. While they may need to develop an identity that does not
 13 include affiliation with the Ultra Orthodox community, it still may be that
 14 of a religious individual. Thus, some maintained religiosity that was less
 15 strict than when they were growing up; for example, “I am now a very
 16 traditional Jew but do things my Jewish way”, or “For me not to be a Jew
 17 is like to be amputated. I want to be a Jew but in a different way; in a way
 18 that works for me and fits me”. One young woman stated “I am very
 19 religious and traditional but not Orthodox... I want to become a rabbi”
 20 (which is possible in some streams of Judaism such as Reconstructionist,
 21 Reform, and Conservative; recently one orthodox institute became the
 22 first to ordain women as spiritual leaders and halakhic authorities).
 23 Another stated that god is and will always be part of her life; that she did
 24 not leave religion, god, or spirituality; rather, she left the pressuring
 25 religious community and yet another said “I am a cultural Jew”. However,
 26 most participants left religion and the community to become as they self-
 27 described “not religious”, “between agnostic and atheist”, goy (Hebrew
 28 and Yiddish for a non-Jew) or “atheist”; one woman stated “My
 29 relationship to religion is pretty antagonistic”. Several became activists for
 30 causes such as broader education, gay rights, and social justice.

31 One aspect of developing the new self was completing a GED [the
 32 acronym stands for General Educational Development, which is the
 33 process of earning the equivalent of a high school diploma for those who
 34 failed to complete high school] and going to college to acquire the type of
 35 education that they did not received within the Orthodox educational
 36 system and that is necessary for modern life. Some felt forced to opt for
 37 “degree mills” because they could not meet the requirements of a school
 38 with high admission standards. One young man who tried to apply to
 39 modern orthodox programs but was rejected because of the lacuna a in his
 40 secular education in subjects such as math and science, explained “I
 41 missed too much in terms of background knowledge; therefore, I cannot

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 achieve a high school diploma and must go to a college that does not
2 require it, thus compromising my chances for any serious education”.

3 As in most cases families would not support them, exiters were
4 lacking resources to pay for the education. Thus, they sometimes found
5 themselves in a bind. They could not afford basic education, without
6 which they could not get a job that will generate funds to pay for school.
7 This conundrum bred all types of strategies such as joining the army,
8 which two male participants did.

9 As they were struggling to create their new identity, exiters had to do
10 so both in the secular world they were entering and in their families and
11 previous community that they left. In the outside world they were learning
12 to navigate, assess possibilities and make decisions rather than follow
13 prescribed routes as well as struggling to get rid of their inherent sense of
14 “otherness”. One man stated, “I just wanted to fit in... I wanted to be able
15 to walk anywhere in NY city and just fit it, not stick out”. However, even
16 when they changed their appearance, they still felt in a strange land
17 because they carry a gap and lack social references and cultural concepts
18 that other children acquire growing up. One man in his 30’s stated “I
19 wanted to know what any American youth my age knows” and “my new
20 friends could not believe that I did not know who Pink Floyd were”.

21 In their families, interviewees tried to re-create a relationship, reach
22 compromises and reason with parents and with children in a way that is
23 respectful to their wishes and yet compatible with their own new reality
24 and beliefs. Parents struggled to remain part of the life of children and
25 find new ways of parenting. Custody battles were reported by several
26 mothers. Once it became public that a mother was no longer Orthodox,
27 some fathers, irrespective to how absent they were from their children’s
28 life, initiated fights to gain custody and were often supported financially
29 by the community, leaving mothers to face alone a battalion of lawyers,
30 rabbis, and sometimes their own families.

31 Several participants reported that coming out to family was difficult
32 because of the pain they caused, “I felt like I am killing my father”. One
33 woman could not stop talking about her experience “I had a verbal
34 diarrhea about the community and leaving it”. Some were frustrated
35 because their families did not accept them unconditionally. One young
36 man stated “I expected rather than superficial relationships with my
37 mother, that she fully accepts me for who I am” and a young lesbian
38 mother of three posited “I begged my mother to fully accept me”.

39 Emotionally, some felt anger towards the Orthodox community and
40 especially the rabbis for siding with families who were non supportive of
41 them and with ex-spouses who remained in the community, including two
42 abusive ex-husbands. The anger was sometimes exacerbated when they

1 realize how much the path forced on them may interfere with their future
2 out of the insular community. One woman stated,

3
4 I'm angry. I'm angry at the way religion is hurting people ... I'm angry
5 at the people who don't even have a chance to see the world the way I
6 see it. I'm angry for my sisters who think they're happy and don't know
7 that they have the right to the same level of knowledge, the same level of
8 world experience as the men in their lives. I'm angry for children, who
9 are denied the chance to a proper education and to living whole and
10 expressing their full selves.

11
12 Another cause for anger was expressed by a woman in her 20's
13 relative to the absence of symmetric in the relationship between the Ultra
14 Orthodox community and the exiters "we [those who left] try very hard to
15 accommodate the community when we go back to visit the families by
16 dressing and acting religious whereas the same is not true relative to the
17 community's way of treating us".

18 When mothers were able to maintain custody, they faced the challenge
19 of helping children make the change as painlessly as possible. One
20 woman's narrative about her struggle to explain the shift to her three
21 school age kids captured the story of several mothers who left

22
23 We started talking seriously. We're going to switch out of these schools.
24 The children adopted secular names, they played around with this and
25 nicknames. ...I bought them little clothes that they could wear in the
26 house to play around with and when they were wearing the jeans at
27 home, all of a sudden, when they saw a person in jeans on the train, it
28 wasn't that other. It was, Oh, would that styles of jeans look nice on me?
29 I realized...my children can make this shift. They can come out on the
30 other side whole

31
32 Helpful in the struggle to reinvent themselves were the Internet and
33 other social media, organization that cater to this population and
34 sometimes a teacher, a relative and friends who left Orthodoxy and served
35 as role models for the possibility to leave without getting lost and adopt
36 other positive ways of being.

37 38 *Discussion*

39
40 Findings of this study confirm previous research that examined defection
41 from religious insulated communities and add more nuanced
42 understanding of the phases in the transition process and the experiences
43 associated with each phase. In agreement with previous research, the

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 process of transitioning from an insular community to the modern main
2 stream world emerged as multi-faceted, multi-stage, oscillating and
3 complex. Davidman and Gareil (2007) found that “exitors” from Orthodox
4 Judaism described their transition as long and torturous, involving pushes
5 and pulls in both directions and Shaffir (1997) posited that typically a
6 decision to exit follows an intense internal debate over the period of years.
7 The current study identified pivotal points in this process and their
8 individual challenges. Future research should seek to examine if these
9 phases are universal or whether inter-group variations exist and how the
10 nature and sequence of phases among those who leave Chasidic compare
11 to leaving non-Chasidic Ultra-Orthodox communities.

12 Most, though not all, participants in this study were what Roof and
13 Landers (1997) identified as defectors, i.e. they rejected religion all
14 together and became non believers. However, a few chose the road of
15 dissent and maintained the faith while distancing themselves from the
16 Ultra Orthodox community and establishment whereas others chose to
17 disengage by choosing a less restrictive and more main stream type of
18 religiosity such as modern orthodoxy.

19 While combinations of multiple reasons can drive leaving religion, the
20 current study identified two main types of beginnings triggered by
21 cognitive or by emotional-relational motivations. This confirms previous
22 literature. For example, Mauss (1969) conceptualized theoretically and
23 documented empirically three dimensions of defecting religion among
24 Mormons in the East Bay Area of California. First, an intellectual
25 dimension, i.e. disinvolvement that is based upon disbelief of certain
26 central tenets of a religion; second, a social dimension, which refers to
27 leaving because of the disintegration of social bonds or as a consequence
28 of unsatisfying social experiences; finally, an emotional dimension, i.e.
29 defection as a manifestation of a psychological issue. Wuthnow and Glock
30 (1973) conducted a longitudinal study of a large cohort of students most
31 of whom were raised Christian and concluded that defection from religion
32 was driven mostly by a general disenchantment with the conventional and
33 psychological stress. Not surprisingly, several participants in the current
34 study reported that their families and the broader Orthodox community
35 often viewed the desire to OTD as a manifestation of a mental illness.

36 A more elaborate typology of reasons for leaving Orthodoxy was
37 offered by Barlev, Breslau and Ne’eman (1997). They identified six
38 clusters of factors that play a role triggering the decision to leave the
39 Jewish religion: intellectual and cognitive factors such as the encounter
40 with and exposure to philosophies and consciousness of the holocaust,
41 emotional factors including traumatic experiences related to religiosity
42 (“how can god allow his to happen?”), familial factors such as seeing

1 parents' religiosity as faulty and rebelling by rejecting it, social/cultural
2 and educational factors, and, materialistic and hedonistic concerns.
3 Participants in the current study tended to identify two of these six clusters
4 but not the others. One reason may be the nature of the sample, which was
5 mostly urban and young. Shaffir (1997) reported in a similar sample that
6 the decision to leave was fueled mostly by curiosity, desire to reach
7 intellectually beyond what is prescribed, and objection to imposed
8 restraints as well as reluctance to remain within a perceived oppressive
9 closed community.

10 Need and De Graaf (1996) approached the study of leaving religion
11 from a different perspective and examined personal attributes and
12 situational factors associated with the decision to leave and found that
13 education, parental education, and marrying a non-religious spouse
14 significantly increase the likelihood of becoming "unchurched" as did a
15 general secularization of the social environment. This seems less
16 applicable to the current sample as all interviewees grew up within similar
17 insular, urban Ultra Orthodox communities.

18 Irrespective of the initial trigger, like Alice after she fell down the
19 rabbit hole, "exiters" reported finding themselves in a new world,
20 governed by unfamiliar rules and social codes, which may beget a sense
21 of disorientation, distress, and loneliness. Similar experiences were
22 discussed by Beckford (1976, 1978) who examined accounts of leavers in
23 the context of Jehovah's Witnesses and Shaffir and Rockaway (1987) who
24 studied leavers of Haredi Judaism. Beckford (1978) posited that members
25 of the Watchtower Society internalizes specific views of their
26 organization and that these views informed their accounts of
27 conversion. While this type of analysis was not part of the current
28 study, it might be helpful in the future to examine if the same is true
29 for those who left Ultra Orthodox Judaism. Shaffir and Rockaway
30 (1987) focused on the motivation for leaving, the process of the departure
31 and the difficulties of transitioning to a secular world. In spite of the
32 almost three decades that have since passed, some of the findings in the
33 current study agree with and reaffirm theirs. Specifically, the enormous
34 efforts required by former Ultra Orthodox to adjust to the lifestyle of
35 secular Jews as no guidance exist to help them navigate the unfamiliar
36 territory.

37 The absence of a cultural map was a source of stress. Similar to other
38 transitions such as becoming a parent, immigration or changing sex,
39 leaving Ultra Orthodoxy includes multiple losses. Major among them are
40 the loss of self identity and of social support. Those who leave must revise
41 their sense of self to meet their new ambiguous and self-constructed

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 reality and develop their new identity (Frankenthaler, 2004). Unlike
2 Christians who typically leave their religion to become members of
3 another religious group such as another type of Christianity, non
4 Christianity or new religious/spiritual movement, Jews who leave their
5 religious identity do not necessarily desert the cultural and social
6 Jewishness (Bar Lev, Breslau & Ne’eman, 1997). Thus participants in this
7 study had to negotiate a new Jewish identity as an alternative to the Ultra
8 Orthodox one that they left. For the most part they had quite a clear sense
9 of who they are and who they want to be. These perceptions varied greatly
10 reflecting where they were on the road of leaving, their characteristics,
11 and circumstances. Future research may help clarify the dynamics and
12 correlates of diversity in the struggle with the issue of identity among
13 “exiters”. For example, are there sect, age, and gender- based differences
14 in the journey? How those who leave non-Chasidic Orthodoxy compare to
15 the exiters from Chasidic sects and how the experience of leavers of
16 different sects within the Chasidic world (e.g. Belz, Bobov, Breslov, Ger,
17 Lubavitch, Munkacs, Pappa, Sanz, Skver and Satmar) compare to each
18 other?

19 The task of recreating their identity became even more challenging in
20 light of the consistent finding in studies of exiters about the absence of
21 ready-made society-wide public narrative and guidelines for those who
22 leave to draw upon. The lack of previous experience in autonomous
23 thinking as they come from communities that demand following dictates,
24 further exacerbates the difficulty. Previous studies of those who left
25 Jehovah’s Witnesses (Beckford, 1978) and Haredi Judaism (Shaffir, 1997)
26 emphasized a pronounced sense of “scriptlessness” and participant in the
27 study by Davidman and Gareil (2007) tended to portray themselves as
28 “actors without a script”, who “have had to improvise new identities” (p.
29 204). Similar to other minority populations, some of the interviewees in
30 the current study felt that the system failed them, deprived them of the
31 opportunity to acquire the tools necessary to function successfully in
32 modern society. These reactions may lead to feelings of anger, sadness
33 and sometimes hopelessness (Maier et. al., 2009).

34 To battle the stress involved in the transition and be successful in the
35 task of developing their new identity, social support is of utmost
36 importance. That the availability of such an “anchor” support is critical
37 have been demonstrated relative to the struggle with diverse stressful
38 situations (Berger, 2014; Rosenfeld & Tardieu, 2000). However,
39 compatible with the results reported by Davidman and Gareil (2007), very
40 little structural support is available for addressing the aftermath of
41 disaffiliation. The narratives revealed how crucial it was to have along the
42 way at least one supportive “other” such as a parent, spouse, relative,

1 teacher or friend and what a major challenge in the struggle of
2 interviewees was the loss of support from their communities and often
3 their families. In the absence of such support, participants used coping
4 strategies similar to those reported in previous research such as reading
5 books sneaked from the library and hidden under the mattress, wearing
6 ‘secular’ cloths under their “religious” outfit and changing to go to a bar,
7 hiding long side locks behind the ears or under a hat (Davidman & Gareil,
8 2007) . These strategies are often deserted as “coming out” becomes more
9 public and individuals more confident in their new self.

10 In spite of its limitations, this study offers several possible
11 implications. First, the finding that with a few exceptions, the absence of
12 support was cited as a major characteristic of the transition process,
13 suggest the need to develop support services for “exiters”. That social
14 support plays a critical role in helping negotiate stressful experiences has
15 been abundantly documented (Berger, 2014; Trickey et. al., 2012)
16 suggesting that creation of such support services is of utmost importance.
17 Services may include concrete help such as temporary housing,
18 educational and job preparation, guidance in all details of the new life
19 such as what to wear (one participant named among her beginner’s
20 mistakes wearing fishnet pantyhose for a job interview) and how to
21 interact with individuals of the opposite sex as well as emotional and
22 psycho-social support. Specifically, groups may be helpful as they can
23 provide role models, information, and mutual validation and create a
24 sence of belonging to compensate for the loss of the natural peer group
25 caused by leaving their original community. In addition, a hotline may be
26 very useful to offer advice. Given the extensive use that this population
27 group makes of the web and the need of some to maintain confidentiality,
28 social media offers an excellent platforma for information and sport
29 services.

30 In addition, that public libraries emerged as a critical source for
31 learning to navigate the new territory of the secular world, suggests that
32 branches close to concentrations of Ultra Orthodox communities may
33 consider expanding sections relevant to this audience and tailored to
34 address their needs. This may include a combination of guidebooks on
35 practical topics such as achieving a GED and applying to college and
36 resource books, as well as collections of classics that the general
37 population is exposed to throughout elementary, middle and high school
38 but the religious education forbids. In addition, it may be useful to train
39 library personnel to be equipped to effectively help those who seek
40 information to facilitate their journey.

41 Like families of other population groups out of the mainstream
42 consensus such as GLBT, families of those who leave Ultra Orthodoxy

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

1 are often confused, angry and at a loss, struggling with what they view as
2 a betrayal and a major dangerous mistake by their offspring. Guidance and
3 support for families may be useful in helping them develop a way of
4 making meaning of the situation and coping with it effectively, as families
5 of some interviewees demonstrated feasible.

6 As with all services designed to address the aftermath of stress and
7 crisis, services must be culturally-informed. Therefore, to maximize their
8 effectiveness and minimize the potential for a destructive tear, planning,
9 and delivery of services for those who leave and their families can be best
10 developed in a collaborative dialogue with religious leaders of the
11 communities of origin. While some may find these recommendations to
12 be unrealistic, they were met with positive reactions in a recent
13 presentation of the study to the staff in an organization that serves this
14 population and helped recruit participants. It thus appears that the question
15 of applicability of these recommendations remains to be evaluated in a
16 future action-research study.

References

- 17
18
19
20 Albert, S.M., Harlap, S, & Caplan, L. (2004). Cancer screening among
21 older women in a culturally insular community. *Preventive Medicine*.
22 39(4), 649-656.
- 23 Albrecht , S. L. & Bahr , H. M. (1983). Patterns of religious disaffiliation:
24 A study of lifelong Mormons, converts, and former Mormons. *Journal*
25 *for the Scientific Study of Religion* 22 (4), 366-379
- 26 Albrecht, S., Cornwall, M., & Cunningham, P. (1988). Religious leave-
27 taking: Disengagement and disaffiliation among Mormons. In D.
28 Bromley (Ed.), *Falling from the faith: Causes and consequences of*
29 *religious apostasy* (62-80). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- 30 Attia, P. R. (2008). *Runaway youth from ultra-orthodox Jewish homes: A*
31 *qualitative study*. New York University. UMI Dissertations
32 Publishing, 3305383. Retrieved from 2/17, 2013.
33 [http://search.proquest.com.libproxy.adelphi.edu:2048/pqdtft/docview/
34 304527499/abstract/13C4DBECD6D524BB848/1?accountid=8204](http://search.proquest.com.libproxy.adelphi.edu:2048/pqdtft/docview/304527499/abstract/13C4DBECD6D524BB848/1?accountid=8204)
- 35 Auslander, S. (2007). *Foreskin's lament: A memoir*. NY: Riverhead Books
- 36 Balch, R. (1985). When the light goes out, darkness comes: A study of
37 defection from a totalistic cult. In R. Stark (Ed.), *Religious*
38 *movements: Genesis, exodus, and numbers* (11-63). New York:
39 Paragon House.
- 40 Bar-Lev , M. Breslau , A. Ne'eman , N. (1997). Culture specific factors
41 which cause Jews in Israel to abandon religious life. In M. Bar-Lev

- 1 W. Shaffir, Eds. *Leaving religion and religious life* (185-204).
 2 Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- 3 Barzilai, S. 2004. *To storm a hundred gates: A journey into the world of*
 4 *the newly secular*. Tel Aviv: Yediot Aharanot (Hebrew)
- 5 Bartz, J. D., Richards, P., Smith, T. B., & Fischer, L. (2010). A 17-year
 6 longitudinal study of religion and mental health in a Mormon sample.
 7 *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 13(7/8), 683-695.
 8 doi:10.1080/13674670801944966
- 9 Beckford, J. A. (1976). New wine in new bottles: A departure from
 10 church-sect conceptual tradition. *Sociual compass*, 23 (1), 71-85.
- 11 Becford, J. A. (1978). Accounting for conversion. *British Journal of*
 12 *Sociology*, 29 (2), 249-262.
- 13 Berger, R. (forthcoming, 2014). *Stress, trauma and posttraumatic growth:*
 14 *Social context., environment and identities*. NY: Rutledge.
- 15 Berry, J.W. (1997) Immigration, acculturation and adaptation. *Applied*
 16 *Psychology: An International Review*, 46. 5-68.
- 17 Blumen, O. (2012). Served by the children: the spatialization of children's
 18 housework in Haredi society in Israel. *Jewish Journal of Sociology*,
 19 54(1/2), 47-66.
- 20 Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology.
 21 *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3 (2). pp. 77-101.
- 22 Brown Taylor, B. (2007). *Leaving church: A memoir of faith*. Deckle
 23 Edge.
- 24 Brinkerhoff, M. B., & Mackie, M. M. (1993). Casting off the bonds of
 25 organized religion: A religious-careers approach to the study of
 26 apostasy. *Review of Religious Research*, 34(3), 235-258.
- 27 Bromley, D. G. (1991). Unraveling religious disaffiliation: The meaning
 28 and significance of falling from the faith. *Counseling and Values*,
 29 35(3), 164-85.
- 30 Davidman, L. & Gareil, A. L. (2007). Characters in search of a script: The
 31 exit narratives of formerly Ultra-Orthodox Jews. *Journal for the*
 32 *Scientific Study of Religion*, 46(2), 201- 216. doi:10.1111/j.1468-
 33 5906.2007.00351.x
- 34 Feldman, D. (2012). *Unorthodox: The scandalous rejection of my Hasidic*
 35 *roots*. NY: Simon & Schuster.
- 36 Flint, S., Benenson, I., & Alfasi, N. (2012). Between friends and
 37 strangers: Micro-segregation in a Haredi neighborhood in Jerusalem.
 38 *City & Community*, 11(2), 171-197. doi:10.1111/j.1540-
 39 6040.2012.01397.x
- 40 Frankenthaler, L. (2004). *Leaving Ultra-Orthodox Judaism*. Master
 41 Thesis, the Hebrew University. Retrieved from

LEAVING JEWISH ORTHODOXY

- 1 http://www.academia.edu/1098413/Leaving_Ultra-Orthodox_Judaism
2 on 2/17/2013.
- 3 Garrett, R. I. & Farrant, R. (2003). *Crossing over: One woman's escape*
4 *from Amish life*. NY: Harper Collins.
- 5 Goldberg, O., & Yassour-Borochowitz, D. (2009). 'Suddenly she realizes that her
6 husband is not exactly Rabbi Akiba ...' - perceptions of masculinity of ultra-
7 Orthodox Israeli Jewish battering men. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 18(4),
8 387-401. doi:10.1080/09589230903260050
- 9 Hoge, D. (1981). *Converts, dropouts, returnees: A study of religious*
10 *change among Catholics*. New York: Pilgrim Press.
- 11 Jacobs, J. L. (1987). Deconversion from religious movements: An
12 analysis of charismatic bonding and spiritual commitment. *Journal for*
13 *the Scientific Study of Religion* 26 (), 294- 308. doi: 10.2307/1386433
- 14 Khalil, M., & Bilici, M. (2007). Conversion out of Islam: A study of
15 conversion narratives of former Muslims. *Muslim World*, 97(1), 111-
16 124. doi:10.1111/j.1478-1913.2007.00161.x
- 17 Maier, k. J., Goble, L. A., Neumann, S. A., Giggey, P. P., Suarez, E. C., &
18 Waldstein, S. R. (2009). Dimensions across measures of dispositional
19 hostility, expressive style, and depression show some variation by
20 race/ethnicity and gender in young adults. *Journal of Social &*
21 *Clinical Psychology*, 28(10), 1199-1225.
- 22 Mauss, A. L. (1969). Dimensions of religious defection. *Review of*
23 *Religious Research*, 10 (3), 128-135.
- 24 Need, A. & De Graaf, N. D. (1996). 'Losing my religion': A dynamic
25 analysis of leaving the church in the Netherlands. *European*
26 *Sociological Review*, 12 (1), 87-99
- 27 Peter, K., Boldt, E. D., Whitaker, I., & Roberts, L. W. (1982). The
28 dynamics of religious defection among Hutterites. *Journal for the*
29 *Scientific Study of Religion*, 21(4), 327-337.
- 30 Pirutinsky, S., Rosmarin, D. H., & Pargament, K. I. (2009). Community
31 attitudes towards culture-influenced mental illness: Scrupulosity vs.
32 nonreligious OCD among orthodox Jews. *Journal of Community*
33 *Psychology*, 37(8) 949-958. doi:10.1002/jcop.20341
- 34 Roof, W.C. & Landes, S. J. (1997). Defection, disengagement and dissent:
35 The dynamics of religious change in the United States. In M. Bar-Lev
36 W. Shaffir, eds. *Leaving religion and religious life* (77-95).
37 Greenwich, CT: JAI Press
- 38 Roozen, D. (1980). Church dropouts: Changing patterns of disengagement
39 and re-entry. *Review of Religious Research*, 21(4),427-450.
- 40 Rosenfeld, J. M. & Tardieu, B. (2000). Artisans of democracy: How
41 ordinary people, families in extreme poverty, and social institutions

- 1 become allies to overcome social exclusion. Lanham, MD: University
 2 Press of America.
- 3 Rothbaum, S. (1988). Between two worlds: Issues of separation and
 4 identity after leaving a religious community. In Bromley, D. G. Ed.
 5 *Falling from the faith* (205-228). Newbury Park: Sage.
- 6 Schachtmen, T. (2006). Rumspringa: *To be or not to be Amish*. NY: North
 7 Point Press
- 8 Schnall, E., Pelcovitz, D., & Fox, D. (2013). Satisfaction and stressors in a
 9 religious minority: A national study of Orthodox Jewish marriage.
 10 *Journal of Multicultural Counseling & Development*, 41(1), 4-20.
- 11 Shaffir, W. (1997). Disaffiliation: The experiences of Haredi Jews. In M.
 12 Bar-Lev and W. Shaffir, Eds. *Leaving religion and religious life* (205–
 13 228). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- 14 Shaffir, W. & Rockaway, R. (1987). Leaving the Ultra-Orthodox fold:
 15 The defection of Haredi Jews. *Jewish Journal of Sociology*, 29(2), 97-
 16 114.
- 17 Solomon, T. (1981). Integrating the “Moonie” experience: A survey of
 18 ex-members of the Unification Church. In T. Robbins, Ed. *In gods we*
 19 *trust: New patterns of religious pluralism in America* (275-295). New
 20 Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.
- 21 Trickey, D., Siddaway, A. P., Meiser-Stedman, R., Serpell, L. & Field,
 22 A.P. (2012). A meta-analysis of risk factors for post-traumatic stress
 23 disorder in children and adolescents. *Clinical Psychology Review*.
 24 32(2), 122-138.
- 25 Wiston, H. (2005). *Unchosen: The hidden lives of Hasidic rebels*. Boston:
 26 Beacon Press
- 27 Wright, S. A. (1984). Post-involvement attitudes of voluntary defectors
 28 from controversial new religious movements. *Journal for the*
 29 *Scientific Study of Religion*, 23(2), 172-182.
- 30 Wuthnow, E. & Glock, C. Y. (1973). Religious loyalty, defection, and
 31 experimentation among college youth. *Journal for the Scientific Study*
 32 *of Religion*, 12 (2), 157-180.